

## Deep Learning on Extrinsic Geometry

Instructor: Hao Su

slides credits: Justin Solomon, Chengcheng Tang

Thu of 3rd week (week of Jan 20): Announcement of projects and start to form project teams

Tue of 4th week (week of Jan 27): Due of casting votes on projects for each team

Thu of 4th week (week of Jan 27): Announcement of project-group alignment

Thu of 5th week (week of Feb 3): Work plan (1 page, template provided)

#### Thu of 8th week (week of Feb 24): Mid-term report (3 pages, template provided)

Tue/Thu of 10th week (week of Mar 9): Final presentation (15 minutes for each team)

Thu of 11th week (week Mar 16): Final report write-up (6 pages, template provided)





# Set comparison

Given two sets of points, measure their discrepancy



# Set comparison

Given two sets of points, measure their discrepancy • Key challenge: correspondence problem

## Correspondence (I): optimal assignment



# Correspondence (II): closest point



## Distance metrics affect mean shapes

The mean shape carries characteristics of the distance metric

continuous  
nidden variable  
(radius) 
$$\bar{x} = \underset{x}{\operatorname{argmin}} \mathbb{E}_{s \sim \mathbb{S}}[d(x, s)]$$

#### Input EMD mean Chamfer mean CVPR '17, Point Set Generation

## Mean shapes from distance metrics

The mean shape carries characteristics of the distance metric



## Comparison of predictions by EMD versus CD



To be used as a loss function, the metric has to be

- **Differentiable** with respect to point locations
- Efficient to compute

Differentiable with respect to point location

Chamfer distance  

$$d_{CD}(S_1, S_2) = \sum_{x \in S_1} \min_{y \in S_2} \|x - y\|_2^2 + \sum_{y \in S_2} \min_{x \in S_1} \|x - y\|_2^2$$

Earth Mover's distance  

$$d_{EMD}(S_1, S_2) = \min_{\phi: S_1 \to S_2} \sum_{x \in S_1} ||x - \phi(x)||_2$$
 where  $\phi: S_1 \to S_2$  is a bijection.

- Simple function of coordinates
- In general positions, the correspondence is unique
- With infinitesimal movement, the correspondence does not change

## **Conclusion: differentiable almost everywhere**

• **Differentiable** with respect to point location



 an infinitesimal change to model parameters (almost) does not change solution structure,

leads to differentiable a.e.!

ere

• Efficient to compute

Chamfer distance: trivially parallelizable on CUDA Earth Mover's distance (optimal assignment):

- We implement a **distributed** approximation algorithm on CUDA
- Based upon [Bertsekas, 1985],  $(1 + \epsilon)$  -approximation



#### **Deep neural network**



• A cascade of layers

#### **Deep neural network**



#### Universal function approximator

• A cascade of layers

input

 Each layer conducts a simple transformation (parameterized) CVPR '17, Point Set Generation

#### **Deep neural network**



#### Universal function approximator

• A cascade of layers

input

- Each layer conducts a simple transformation (parameterized)
- Millions of parameters, has to be fitted by many data











#### Natural statistics of geometry



- Many local structures are common
  - e.g., planar patches, cylindrical patches
  - strong local correlation among point coordinates

#### Natural statistics of geometry



- Many local structures are common
  - e.g., planar patches, cylindrical patches
  - strong local correlation among point coordinates
- Also some intricate structures

CVPR '17, Point Set Generation

points have high local variation





#### **Review: deconv network**

- Output D arrays, e.g., 2D segmentation map
- Common local patterns are learned from data
- Predict locally correlated data well
- Weight sharing reduces the number of params



#### **Review: deconv network**

- Output D arrays, e.g., 2D segmentation map
- Common local patterns are learned from data
- Predict locally correlated data well
- Weight sharing reduces the number of params



#### **Prediction of curved 2D surfaces in 3D**

• Surface parametrization (2D 3D mapping)



Credit: Discrete Differential Geometry, Crane et al.

#### **Prediction of curved 2D surfaces in 3D**

• Surface parametrization (2D 3D mapping)



Credit: Discrete Differential Geometry, Crane et al.

#### **Prediction of curved 2D surfaces in 3D**

• Surface parametrization (2D-3D mapping)



#### coordinate maps

Credit: Discrete Differential Geometry, Crane et al.

#### Parametrization prediction by deconv network



#### Parametrization prediction by deconv network



#### Parametrization prediction by deconv network



## Visualization of the learned parameterization

• Surface parametrization (2D 3D mapping)

Observation:



- Learns a smooth parametrization
- Because deconv net tends to predict data with local correlation



map of x coord map of y coord map of z coord

## Visualization of the learned parameterization

• Surface parametrization (2D 3D mapping)

Observation:



- Learns a smooth parametrization
- Because deconv net tends to predict data with local correlation



 $(x_k, y_k, z_k)$ 



map of x coord map of y coord map of z coord



#### Natural statistics of geometry



- Many local structures are common
  - e.g., planar patches, cylindrical patches
  - strong local correlation among point coordinates
- Also some intricate structures







## Visualization of the effect of FC branch

• Surface parametrization (2D 3D mapping)

Observation:

The arrangement of predicted points are uncorrelated



x-coord



z-coord

red

## Visualization of the effect of FC branch

• Surface parametrization (2D 3D mapping)

and o

Observation:

- The arrangement of predicted points are uncorrelated
- Located at fine structures



#### Q: Which color corresponds to the deconv branch? FC branch?



#### Q: Which color corresponds to the deconv branch? FC branch?

blue: deconv branch - large, smooth structures
red: FC branch - intricate structures



CVPR '17, Point Set Generation

#### Effect of combining two branches

Train/tested on 2K object categories



#### **Real-world results**



#### **Generalization to unseen categories**



Out of training categories

#### **Extension: shape completion for RGBD data**



## Generation of Multiple Plausible Shapes

Ambiguity of the prediction arises at test time, the depth for visible parts is under-determined, and the geometry for invisible parts has to be hallucinated by guessing.

Min-of-N Loss (MoN):

$$\underset{\Theta}{\text{minimize}} \quad \sum_{k} \min_{\substack{r_j \sim \mathbb{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}) \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} \{ d(\mathbb{G}(I_k, r_j; \Theta), S_k^{gt}) \}$$

#### Min-of-N Loss (MoN)



**Figure 4.** System structure. By plugging in distributional modeling module, our system is capable of generating multiple predictions.

## SURFACE DEFORMATION-BASED RECONSTRUCTION



#### **Comprating points : PointSetGen**

Another approach is to sample points on the surface of the 3D shape and work with an



Fan, H., Su, H., & Guibas, L. A point set generation network for 3d object reconstruction from a single image. CVPR 2017





In fact, that's our goal : generating a set of 3D points and the connectivity between

#### meshes and atlases





Let's try this on an arbitrary shape : me :)

#### lest Snape



We build on PointSetGen, and its point cloud representation. In its simplest form, the latent

## **Generating points**

# results





# Key idea 1: deform a surface

#### We observed that and build on this use a labbing life ply by sampling many points and minimizing decoder architecture the prior Chamfer distance





## Key idea 2: learn an atlas



ed object.

# / idea 2: learn an atlas

#### arnt simply by sampling many points and minimizing Chamfer distance Generated





bes back to the

# dea 2: learn an atlas

Learnt simply by sampling many points and minimizing Chamfer distance Generated





## **NEXT LECTURE: LAPLACIAN**

